

Ngirchokebai v. Marcil, 2 ROP Intrm. 3 (1989)
SAMUEL NGIRCHOKEBAI,
Appellee,

v.

EDWINA MARCIL,
Appellant.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4-86
Civil Action No. 224-85

Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Republic of Palau

Appellate decision
Decided: December 6, 1989

Counsel for Appellant: Moses Uludong, T.C.

Counsel for Appellee: Clara Kalscheur

BEFORE: MAMORU NAKAMURA, Chief Justice; LOREN A. SUTTON, Associate Justice;
FREDERICK J. O'BRIEN, Associate Justice Pro Tem.

PER CURIAM:

The Motion of Plaintiff/Appellee Ngirchokebai to Dismiss this appeal is granted.

Defendant/Appellant has not complied with ROP [R. Sic] App. Pro. 10(b). We find that misdirection of a notice of the estimated **14** cost of transcript does not constitute excuse for failure on the part of a Counsel to timely pursue his or her client's cause.

This matter is properly before us pursuant to Article X, sec. 6 ROP Const. and ROP R. App. Pro. 1(a).