

Palau EQPB v. Ngatpang State, 1 ROP Intrm. 647 (1989)
PALAU ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PROTECTION BOARD/PEQPB,
Plaintiff,

v.

NGATPANG STATE, Represented by its Governor, NGIRATKEL ETPISON,
Defendant.

CIVIL APPAL NO. 24-88
Civil Action No. 192-88

Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Republic of Palau

Order dismissing appeal
Decided: August 9, 1989

Counsel for Plaintiff: Shad D. Priest

Counsel for Defendant: Carlos H. Salii

BEFORE: MAMORU NAKAMURA, Chief Justice; LOREN A. SUTTON, Associate Justice;
ARTHUR NGIRAKLSONG, Associate Justice.

PER CURIAM:

The amended order of the trial court of November 30, 1988, which defendant sought to appeal only required that plaintiff submit a proposal for restoration of mangrove areas allegedly destroyed by defendant's activities. The order does not represent a final disposition of the case, but is simply a request for additional information. An appeal requires that there be some final decision dispositive of the matter before the trial court.

As a rule, merely interlocutory decisions are not appealable, the general policy of the law being to permit an appeal only from final decisions or judgments, in the absence of a statute or rule specifically providing **1648** otherwise An appeal from a decision that is not final will ordinarily be dismissed for lack of appealability.

4 Am. Jur. 2d, Appeal and Error § 50.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the instant appeal be, and the same is, hereby Dismissed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case be, and the same is, hereby Remanded to the trial court for further proceedings.